



Subsidiary Body for Implementation

Sixty-fourth session

Bonn, 8–18 June 2026

Agenda item 14

Matters relating to capacity-building

Matters relating to capacity-building

Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair

Addendum

Recommendation of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation

The Subsidiary Body for Implementation, at its sixty-fourth session, recommended the following draft decision for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its twenty-first session:

Draft decision -/CMP.21

Fifth comprehensive review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries under the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decisions 29/CMP.1, 6/CMP.4, 15/CMP.7, 6/CMP.12, 5/CMP.16, 5/CMP.20 and 2/CP.7,

1. *Welcomes* the annual synthesis reports on the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries¹ and the synthesis reports on the capacity-building work of bodies established under the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol and undertaken by bodies serving the Paris Agreement, prepared by the secretariat, insofar as they relate to bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol;²
2. *Notes with appreciation* the continued progress in the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries established under decision 2/CP.7, and reaffirmed in decision 29/CMP.1, under the Kyoto Protocol;

¹ FCCC/SBI/2022/2, FCCC/SBI/2023/3, FCCC/SBI/2024/2, FCCC/SBI/2025/2 and FCCC/SBI/2026/5.

² FCCC/SBI/2022/4 and Add.1, FCCC/SBI/2023/5 and Add.1, FCCC/SBI/2024/3 and Add.1, and FCCC/SBI/2025/1 and Add.1 and FCCC/SBI/2026/1.



3. *Recognizes* that the priority areas identified in decision 29/CMP.1 informed capacity-building efforts during the period covered by the fifth comprehensive review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries under the Kyoto Protocol;
4. *Welcomes* the Durban Forum on capacity-building as one of the key modalities for enhancing progress in implementing the framework for capacity-building in developing countries under the Kyoto Protocol;
5. *Also welcomes* the wide range of capacity-building activities undertaken by constituted bodies under the Kyoto Protocol and *notes* that lessons learned from those activities can inform current and future capacity-building;
6. *Reiterates* the value of strengthening the participation of relevant stakeholders, including non-State actors, in undertaking capacity-building activities;³
7. *Notes* that, while progress has been made, gaps and needs remain in relation to addressing the priority areas identified in decision 29/CMP.1;
8. *Invites* Parties to foster networking and enhance their collaboration with academia and research centres with a view to promoting individual, institutional and systemic capacity-building through education, training and public awareness-raising;
9. *Notes* the importance of sharing best practices and lessons learned pertaining to capacity-building among Parties and practitioners;
10. *Emphasizes* the importance of building long-term capacity in developing countries, including by promoting strong domestic enabling environments;
11. *Notes* that monitoring and reviewing the impacts of capacity-building remains challenging and needs to be conducted in specific contexts to better assess the progress and evaluate the effectiveness of activities;
12. *Recognizes* the importance of continuing to identify and disseminate lessons learned, including through the Durban Forum on capacity-building, to enhance the implementation of capacity-building activities;
13. *Decides* that any future review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries under the Kyoto Protocol shall be subject to a decision thereon by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol as and when it deems necessary, taking into account the continued relevance of the framework assessed pursuant to the terms of reference for the fifth comprehensive review.

³ Decision 5/CMP.16, para. 5.